

## Tools to Support Knowledge Design and Explanation (VISTA update)

Glenn Taylor and Randolph M. Jones Soar Technology, Inc. {rjones,glenn}@soartech.com

Soar Workshop, June 2005

# **VISTA Goals**

- Reduce costs in developing, fielding, and using Human Behavior Representations
  - Approach: provide a generic explanation capability that can be used by various HBRs
  - Specifically: Enhance the 'explanation' capability within the existing VISTA system
    - User-driven/interactive
    - Bring to bear multiple knowledge sources in explanation
    - · Develop a design paradigm that supports explanation
    - Employ multi-modal explanation



# Challenges

- What kinds of explanation are useful?
- What knowledge is available to generate explanations?
- How to reduce burden on system developer to producing explanations?



# Approach

- Intelligent User Interface for Multi-Modal Explanations
  - Take advantage of multiple information modalities (e.g., graphics & text) for explanation
  - Use intelligent agent to mediate user interactions and generate contextually-relevant explanations
    - Agent contains domain-independent knowledge for generating explanations, discourse, display, and interaction
    - Agent imports domain-specific knowledge for the performing agent whose actions will be explained
  - Merge multiple knowledge sources to generate different types of explanations for different contexts or different users



# **Design Tradeoff**

Where to place 'explanation' capabilities?

	Performance Agent	Explanation Agent		
Pros	<ul> <li>Immediate access to agent knowledge</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Generality across agent systems/architectures/ domains</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Depends only on general VISTA knowledge representation primitives</li> </ul>		
Cons	<ul> <li>Solution specific to agent and architecture</li> <li>Adds extra-task burden on agent</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No direct access to performance agent knowledge</li> <li>Requires intermediate representation</li> </ul>		

#### No free lunch

TakeHome: *Generality* of solution is the driving requirement; but efficiency is also important – long-term savings trump short-term



## **High-level Architecture**





## What Knowledge is available?





# **Explanation Knowledge**

- Can use ontology information as-is, where supplied
- Can take advantage of special encodings in ontologies for hypertext:
  - GetMissileLarGoal.description = "...such that the sitref:@target is ..."
  - Display: "The purpose of get-missile-lar is to maneuver such that the <u>target</u> is within the launch acceptability region..."
- Some domain-neutral knowledge
  - How to query ontologies
  - How to structure output into a document
- Some domain-specific
  - Distinctions between primitive or domain-specific types (goals, objects)
  - What attributes to use in explanation
  - How to order explanation content

June 2005 | © 2005 Soar Technology, Inc. | Slide 8



#### Adding Explanation Capability to HBMs: Process

- Define design, domain as ontologies
- Define decision-making contexts to organize performing agent and question-answering interactions
- Annotate knowledge structures with documentation elements
  - VISTA will automatically generate lower-quality explanatory elements if necessary
- Mark situation knowledge to export from performance agent
- If desired, create graphical representations of knowledge elements



# How to reduce burden of explanation on developer?

- Re-use of existing knowledge bases
  - From the design and knowledge acquisition processes
  - From extant knowledge bases (SUMO ontology, C2IEDM, etc.)
- Common/standard formats
  - Ontology languages (OWL)
- COTS tools
  - Ontology specification tools, ontology translators, etc.
  - Protégé, Onto2Soar
- API for adding domain-specific knowledge into explanations
- Improved knowledge design tools
  - HERBAL, Contextual Knowledge Editor, HLSR



#### Knowledge Editor to Build Design Ontologies from Domain Ontologies (Eclipse Plugin)

GoalEditor - achieve-proximity-co	de.txt - Eclipse Pl	atform						_@×	
File Edit Navigate Search Project	Run Window Help	0							
	]							GoalEditor CoalEditor Covening	
GoalList ☆ □ □	achieve-proxir status	nity-code.txt 🛛	ion-course co	omputation to	the target			Goalbate 33  Name Name proximity-route-heading Description The best heading to achieve proximity to the target	
is qv> ontop <v> is cc-in-bounds is computed-collision is proximity-route-fielding is redar-contact is arget-intent is visual-contact</v>	CONTEXT-FEATURE proximity-route-heading cc-in-bounds description 0: the collision course to the target's relationship to my radar limits description 1: the collision course to the target's relationship to my radar limits description 2: the collision course to the target's relationship to my radar limits CONTEXT-RESPONSE proximity-route-heading bearing target-intent hostile								
	target-intent hostile visual-contact False radar-contact False computed-collision False					Values Value radar-right Description the right edge of the radar limits New Remove			
	target-intent friendly * hostile hostile hostile hostile	Visual-contact * True * False False False	radar-contact * * True True True True False	computed-collision True False True True True True True	cc-in-bounds * True False-left False-right *	Response lead-p bearing collision collision radar-left radar-r ?		View Visual-contact computed-collision cc-in-bounds	



#### **VISTA with Explanation Agent**





# Synergy

#### HERBL

- Provides user interface for defining domain and some design knowledge, together with mappings to Soar architectural elements
- TRACE
  - Provides low-level architectural ontology which could be used to drive design-knowledge editors and displays

#### Architectural abstractions

- Provides mid-level language for domain and design ontologies
- Contextual Knowledge Editor
  - Provides context-driven engineering of design knowledge at an architecture-neutral level
- HLSR
  - Provides architecture-neutral language for representing domain and design knowledge

June 2005 | © 2005 Soar Technology, Inc. | Slide 13



# Gold

- We have greatly expanded the kinds of information available to a user of HBMs using VISTA
- We have improved the usability/interactivity of getting information from VISTA
- We use multi-modal explanation to take advantage of VISTA graphical channels as well as text-based explanation
- Maintained generality across different agent systems
- Parallel development of a space of related tools and technologies is providing opportunities for the design of agent-oriented Integrated Development Environments to ease development of performing and explaining agents



## Coal

- Hard to measure benefit of explanations
- Generating explanations still imposes an additional burden on the model developer
- There are a number of interesting tools and technologies emerging, but they require integration

